UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

×	United S	Kin.			
		DISTRICT (AND DIVISION	OF CALIFORNIA ON	ALCI APP	7
United Sta	ates of America,) (Case No. 4-19	-70511 - NASE2019	
Office St	•)	STIPULATED ORDE	ANDORICANO	
	Plaintiff, v.	,	UNDER THE SPEED	~/~ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/ \/	
Michae	V. L Abert Quinn Defendant(s).)			
	Defendant(s).)			
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on April 12, 2019 the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from April 12, 2019 to May 3, 2019 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):					
	ilure to grant a continuance woul e 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be likely	to result in a miscarr	iage of justice.	
de or	ne case is so unusual or so completed fendants, the nature of the law, that it is unreasonable to expelf within the time limits established.	prosecution pect adequ	on, or the existence that the existence preparation for pre-	ence of novel questions of fact etrial proceedings or the trial	
	ilure to grant a continuance woulking into account the exercise of o				
co	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
ne	ilure to grant a continuance woul cessary for effective preparation, e 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	, taking int			
dis pa the ex	ith the consent of the defendant, a sposition of criminal cases, the coragraph and — based on the partie time limits for a preliminary heatending the 30-day time period for clusions set forth above). See Federal	ourt sets the ies' showing aring unde or an indic	e preliminary hearing ng of good cause — fi r Federal Rule of Crin tment under the Speed	to the date set forth in the first nds good cause for extending ninal Procedure 5.1 and for ly Trial Act (based on the	
IT IS SO ORDERED.					
DATED: (12, 2019) DONNA M. RYU					
			United States Magistra	ate Judge	
OWALLA II	TED (2: 3)		Jan 8	4 -	
STIPULA	Attorney for Defendant Attorney Frass		Assistant United State	s Attorney	